

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,341 04/21/2004		04/21/2004	Fumiyoshi Yonezawa	Q80990	5470	•	
	23373 7590 02/15/2006				EXAMINER		
	SUGHRUE	MION,	PLLC		KIRKLAND III, FREDDIE		
	2100 PENNS	YLVAN	IA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON DC 20037					2855		

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/828,341	YONEZAWA, FUMIYOSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Freddie Kirkland III	2855		

	Freddie Kirkland III	2855							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause						
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	04. Can attached Nation of Non-Ca		(DTOL 224)						
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 8. Claim(s) objected to: 6. Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7 and 9-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a l).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see note.</li> </ol>			nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)							
13.  Other:	Inte	11							
	FOWADE	LEFKOWITZ							
SUPERVISORY PARENT EXAMINER									
	TECHNOLOG	Y CENTER 2800							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Although the Claiming of "is disposed so that the air flow from the air inlet hits the inclined portion, and" in claim 7 would require futher search and consideration. It appears that the Nagasaka reference would still meet the amended claim because the air entering the inlet would hit the inclined portion as shown in figure 1b.

The applicants arguments regarding claims 1 and 11 are not persuasive. The applicant fails to distinctly point out in the claims and their arguments how their invention patentably distiguishes over Kohmura. The shunt plate from the Kohmura teaching, as shown in figure 5, is adjacent the first passage and is distant from the from the imaginary line which is parallel to the axis of the intake passage and passes through the top end of the air inlet. The top end of the air inlet can be considered the top, meaning above the centerline, of the air inlet. Top end does not mean the very top of the air inlet. The definition of adjecent is not distant. Therefore shunt plate of Kohmura is adjacent to the first passage as shown in figure 5.